	DAVID CHILL G B. #100542		
1	DAVID CHIU, State Bar #189542 City Attorney		
2	MEREDITH B. OSBORN, State Bar #250467		
2	Chief Trial Deputy KAITLYN MURPHY, State Bar #293309		
3	ALEXANDER J. HOLTZMAN, State Bar #311813		
4	Deputy City Attorneys		
5	Fox Plaza 1390 Market Street, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor		
3	San Francisco, California 94102-5408		
6	Telephone: (415) 554-6762 [Murphy]		
7	Telephone: (415) 554-3999 [Holtzman] Facsimile: (415) 554-3837		
, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Email: kaitlyn.murphy@sfcityatty.org		
8	Email: alexander.holtzman@sfcityatty.org	g	
9	Attorneys for Defendants		
	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,		
10	AND PAUL MIYAMOTO, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SAN FRANCISCO SHERIFF		
11			
12			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NODTHEDN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	OAKLAN	ND DIVISION	
15			
16		1	
17	JOSHUA SIMON, DAVID BARBER, AND JOSUE BONILLA, INDIVIDUALLY AND	Case No. 4:22-cv-05	5541-JST
1/	ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS	DEFENDANTS' A	DMINISTRATIVE MOTION
18	SIMILARLY SITUATED, DIANA BLOCK,		FILE THE DECLARATION
19	AN INDIVIDUAL AND COMMUNITY RESOURCE INITIATIVE, AN		IFF KATHERINE JOHNSON OR COURT'S REVISION TO
	ORGANIZATION,	THE PRETRIAL 1	ELECTRONIC
20	Plaintiffs,		ROGRAM ORDER IN PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
21	Trainents,		ARY INJUNCTION
22	VS.	Indeed	Han Jan C Tigan
22	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	Judge: Courtroom:	Hon. Jon S. Tigar Courtroom 6, 2nd Floor
23	FRANCISCO, PAUL MIYAMOTO, IN HIS	Date:	February 2, 2023
24	OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SAN FRANCISCO SHERIFF,	Time:	2:00 p.m.
<b>∠</b> ¬	,	Trial Date:	Not Set
25	Defendants.		
26			
		_	
27			

28

9 10

8

12

11

13 14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

///

28

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-3(d) and 7-11, Defendants the City and County of San Francisco and Sheriff Paul Miyamoto, in his official capacity as the San Francisco Sheriff, file this Administrative Motion for Leave to File the Declaration of Undersheriff Katherine Johnson on the Superior Court's Revision to the Pretrial Electronic Monitoring Program Order in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction ("Administrative Motion"). Plaintiffs declined to stipulate to the filing of the declaration. See Declaration of Kaitlyn Murphy in Support of Administrative Motion for Leave to File the Declaration of Undersheriff Katherine Johnson on the Superior Court's Revision to the Pretrial Electronic Monitoring Program Order in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction ¶ 3.

The Declaration of Undersheriff Johnson explains that the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Francisco has approved revisions to the order the criminal court enters in releasing pretrial criminal defendants from custody subject to participation in the Pretrial Electronic Monitoring ("PTEM") Program. The revised order is attached as Exhibit A to Undersheriff Johnson's declaration. The prior version of the order is on the docket at ECF No. 22-2, at 15. Revised oral admonitions are attached as Exhibit B to Undersheriff Johnson's declaration. As described in greater detail in Undersheriff Johnson's declaration, it is expected that the Superior Court will begin to use the revised order and accompanying revised admonitions starting on or around Monday, May 8, 2023.

The developments described in Undersheriff Johnson's Declaration are relevant to the Court's analysis of matters before it including Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary Injunction (ECF No. 22). Courts properly consider preliminary injunction motions based on the current circumstances. See Rose v. Rhorer, No. 13-CV-03502-WHO, 2013 WL 5913656, at \*2 n.1 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 31, 2013) ("The Court GRANTS the City's motion for leave to file supplemental material as the supplemental material appropriately apprises the Court of new factual developments material to the plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction."); Language Line Servs., Inc. v. Language Servs. Assocs., Inc., No. CV 10-02605 RS, 2013 WL 12173920, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. June 25, 2013) ("preliminary injunctions are ambulatory remedies").

## Case 4:22-cv-05541-JST Document 53 Filed 05/03/23 Page 3 of 3

	1		
1	For the above reasons, the Court should grant Defendants leave to file Undersheriff Johnson's		
2	Declaration.		
3			
4	Dated: May 3, 2023		
5	DAVID CHIU		
6	City Attorney MEREDITH B. OSBORN		
7	Chief Trial Deputy KAITLYN MURPHY		
8	ALEXANDER J. HOLTZMAN Deputy City Attorneys		
9			
10	By: <u>/s/ Kaitlyn Murphy</u> KAITLYN MURPHY		
11	Attorneys for Defendants		
12	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, PAUL MIYAMOTO, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SAN		
13	FRANCISCO SHERIFF		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19   20			
$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}$			
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			